

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 GS HOLISTIC, LLC,

CASE NO. C23-0398JLR

11 Plaintiff,

ORDER

12 v.

13 GRANITE VAPE, LLC, et al.,

14 Defendants.

15 Before the court is Plaintiff GS Holistic, LLC’s (“GS Holistic”) motion for a
16 60-day extension of time to perfect service on Defendants Brian Snow, Rahn Bruns,
17 Amir Rai, and Jonathan Stephani (collectively, “Defendants”). (Mot. (Dkt. # 9).) The
18 court GRANTS GS Holistic’s motion for an extension of time.¹

19 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 requires a plaintiff to serve the defendant with a

20
21

¹ Although the motion is noted for consideration on June 23, 2023 (*see id.*), the court sees
22 no reason to delay issuing a decision on the motion. *See Fed. R. Civ. P. 1* (authorizing the court
to construe the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure “to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive
determination of every action and proceeding”).

summons and a copy of the complaint and sets forth the specific requirements for doing so. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. Rule 4(m), which provides the timeframe in which service must be effectuated, states in relevant part:

If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period.

Id.

GS Holistic filed this action on March 16, 2023. (Compl. (Dkt. # 1).) As a result, Rule 4(m)'s 90-day deadline for effectuating service of process expired on Wednesday, June 14, 2023. Fed. R. Civ. P 4(m). GS Holistic represents that it was able to serve Defendant Granite Vape, LLC, on May 24, 2023.² (Mot. ¶ 2.) GS Holistic represents that it has attempted to serve the remaining Defendants at "different times" but has been unsuccessful. (*Id.* ¶ 3.) GS Holistic now asks the court to extend the Rule 4(m) deadline by 60 days to allow it to perfect service on Defendants "either personally or by publication." (*Id.* ¶ 5.)

The court finds that GS Holistic has not demonstrated good cause for its failure to effectuate service before the Rule 4(m) deadline. Nevertheless, the court GRANTS GS Holistic's motion for a 60-day extension of the deadline to perfect service (Dkt. # 9).³

² GS Holistic has not, however, filed an affidavit of service with respect to Granite Vape, LLC. (See Dkt.)

³ This order does not grant GS Holistic leave to serve Defendants by publication. If GS Holistic seeks to serve Defendants by publication, it must file a motion for leave to do so. *See*

1 GS Holistic shall file proof of service on Defendants by no later than **August 13, 2023**.
2 Failure to do so may result in the dismissal without prejudice of GS Holistic's claims
3 against Defendants. Further extensions of the deadline to serve Defendants will not be
4 granted absent exceptional circumstances.⁴

5 Dated this 15th day of June, 2023.

6
7 
8

JAMES L. ROBART
United States District Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16 _____
17 *Pascua v. Heil*, 108 P.3d 1253, 1257 (Wash. Ct. App. 2005) (explaining the standard for granting
leave to serve a defendant by publication in Washington).

18 ⁴ Under Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 10(e)(3), the law firm, mailing address, and
19 telephone number of the attorney preparing the paper should be printed or typed at the bottom of
each page of a motion or other filing. In addition, Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 10(e)(5)
20 requires line numbers in the left margin of each page of a motion or other filing. Here, the footer
21 of GS Holistic's motion contains contact information for The Ticktin Law Firm, even though the
only attorney who has appeared in this action is Brett C. Harris of Virgo Law LLC. (See Mot.;
Dkt.) In addition, there are no line numbers on the pleading paper. (See Mot.) Accordingly, the
court ORDERS counsel for GS Holistic to carefully review the court's local rules and to ensure
compliance with those rules in all future filings. Failure to comply with the court's local rules
22 may result in sanctions.